# **Optimal Portfolios: Theory**

Kevin Crotty BUSI 448: Investments



#### Where are we?

Last time:



# Where are we?

#### Last time:

- Diversification: possible reduction in risk at no cost in expected return!
- Efficient frontier: set of risky asset portfolios with least risk

Today:

- Capital Allocation: Risk-free + Risky
- Preferences over risk and return
- Optimal portfolios



## Which return series do you prefer?





# Which return series do you prefer?





# Which return series do you prefer?





# Where would you like your portfolio to live?





# **Capital Allocation**



# Adding a risk-free asset

What does the set of possible portfolios look like if we combine a risky asset with a risk-free asset?

Example: a money market savings account with a stock fund.

**Expected Return**:

$$E[r_p] = w E[r_{\mathrm{risky}}] + (1-w)r_f$$
 .

Variance:

$$\mathrm{var}[r_p] = w^2 \mathrm{var}[r_{\mathrm{risky}}] + (1-w)^2 \mathrm{var}[r_f] + 2w(1-w) \mathrm{cov}[r_{\mathrm{risky}},r_f] \,.$$

What is true of  $var[r_f]$  and  $cov[r_{risky}, r_f]$ ?

$$\mathrm{sd}[r_p] = |w| \cdot \mathrm{sd}[r_{\mathrm{risky}}]$$



# **Capital Allocation Line**

We can solve for *w* and substitute into the expected return def'n to obtain:

$$\mathrm{E}[r_p] = r_f + \left[rac{E[r_{\mathrm{risky}}] - r_f}{\mathrm{sd}[r_{\mathrm{risky}}]}
ight] \cdot \mathrm{sd}[r_p]$$

The CAL for a risky asset is a set of portfolios combining the risky asset with the risk-free asset.

The term in brackets is called the Sharpe ratio!



# **Capital Allocation Line**





# **Tangency Portfolio**



# **Risk-free + Multiple Risky Assets**

Let's assume that in addition to the US stock market fund, we are also considering investing in a long-term bond fund.





# **The Tangency Portfolio Problem**

- Given a risk-free asset, the optimal risky portfolio is the set of weights that maximizes the portfolio's Sharpe ratio.
- Mathematically, choose portfolio weights to solve the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\max_{w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_N}rac{E[r_p]-r_f}{\mathrm{sd}[r_p]}$$

subject to constraints: 
$$\sum_i w_i = 1$$



# The Tangency Portfolio Problem in Python

```
1 from scipy.optimize import minimize
2 n = len(MNS)
 3 def f(w):
4 mn = w @ MNS
 5 sd = np.sqrt(w @ COV @ w)
 6 return - (mn - RF) / sd
7 # Initial guess (equal-weighted)
8 \ w0 = (1/n)*np.ones(n)
9 # Constraint: fully-invested portfolio
10 A = np.ones(n)
11 \ b = 1
12 cons = [{"type": "eq", "fun": lambda x: A @ x - b}]
13 # No short-sale constraint
14 bnds = [(None, None) for i in range(n)]
15 # Optimization
16 \text{ TOL} = 10^{**}(-10)
17 wgts = minimize(f, w0, bounds=bnds, constraints=cons, options={'ftol':TOL}).x
```



# **The Tangency Portfolio Problem**

Allowing short sales, the tangency portfolio weights satisfy a system of equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{cov}[r_1,r_i] w_i = \delta(E[r_1]-r_f) \ \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{cov}[r_2,r_i] w_i = \delta(E[r_2]-r_f) \ dots \ \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{cov}[r_N,r_i] w_i = \delta(E[r_N]-r_f)$$

where  $\delta$  is a constant (it is a Lagrange multiplier from the optimization problem)



## Intuition

- The LHS terms are the contributions of each asset to overall portfolio risk.
- The RHS terms are proportional to each asset's risk premium.
- The ratio of an asset's excess return to its contribution to overall portfolio risk is the same across all assets for the optimal combination of risky assets!



# Theoretical tangency (no shorting restrictions)

```
1 import numpy as np
2
3 # Tangency: theoretical solution without short-sale constraint
4 w = np.linalg.solve(cov, means - r)
5 wgts_tangency = w / np.sum(w)
```



# Preferences



# **Preferences and the Capital Allocation Line**

- Consider the tangency portfolio's capital allocation line.
- Would you ever invest in portfolios to the right of this line?
- Where on this CAL would you invest?
- Location on CAL depends on risk aversion!



#### **Mean-Variance Preferences**

- We will assume that we like expected returns and dislike risk.
- Risk aversion *A* measures `how much' we dislike risk

$$U(r_p) = E[r_p] - 0.5 \cdot A \cdot \mathrm{var}[r_p] \,.$$



#### **Different risk aversions**

When risk aversion is higher, a higher expected return is required to reach the utility for a given level of risk, and the extra expected return increases when risk increases.





## **Indifference Curves**

- Investors are indifferent between portfolios that generate the same utility.
- Higher utility is achieved with either a higher expected return or lower risk or both.





# **Preferences and the Capital Allocation Line**

A mean-variance investor chooses *w* to solve:

$$\max_w E[r_p] - 0.5 \cdot A \cdot \mathrm{var}[r_p]$$
 .

with 
$$r_p = wr_{\text{risky}} + (1-w)r_f$$
.

The optimal allocation to the risky portfolio is:

$$w^* = rac{E[r_{ ext{risky}} - r_f]}{A \cdot ext{var}_{ ext{risky}}}$$

Investors with different risk aversion will choose different combinations of the risky asset and the risk-free asset.



## **Preferences and the Capital Allocation Line**





#### **Risk aversion and allocation to Risky Assets**





#### **Alternatives to mean-variance preferences**

Alternatively, some investors have a either a target expected return or target standard deviation. If we have a target expected return, solve for  $w_{risky}$ :

$$E[r_p] = w_{ ext{risky}} \cdot E[r_{ ext{risky}}] + (1 - w_{ ext{risky}}) \cdot r_f$$

If we have a target standard deviation, solve for  $w_{risky}$ :

$$\mathrm{sd}[r_p] = w_{\mathrm{risky}} \cdot \mathrm{sd}[r_{\mathrm{risky}}]$$



Learn Investments Dashboard resources

Manual search for optimal Sharpe ratio

- 3-asset tangency
- 3-asset capital allocation
- N-asset portfolios



# For next time: Practical Issues in Portfolio Optimization



